

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MICHAEL E. JACQUES,
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JUNG, et al,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:24-cv-0477-TLN-SCR

ORDER

17 On February 14, 2025, defendants filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, to
18 revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

19 Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
20 opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
21 the granting of the motion" Local Rule 110 further provides that failure to comply with the
22 Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or
23 Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."

24 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the date of
25 this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion to dismiss, or, in the alternative,
26 to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. Failure to file an opposition will be deemed a

27 ///

28 ///

1 statement of non-opposition and shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
2 pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

3 DATED: May 2, 2025

4
5
6 
7 SEAN C. RIORDAN
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28